

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,729		02/15/2002	Rod D. Lawing	014208.1498 (34-98-001CIP	2000	
5073	7590	10/27/2003		EXAMINER		
BAKER BO 2001 ROSS			TRAN, P	TRAN, PHILIP B		
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				2155	11	
				DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/076,729	LAWING ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Philip B Tran	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>9</u>						
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive since Davis teaches a method for centrally managing plural network clients interfaced with a network host comprising initiating a login script at a network client, the login script calling a login routine associated with the network host that operationally manages the configuration of the network client such as logon script is invoked when the end user of the client computer attempts to logon to the server [see Fig. 5A and Col. 11, Lines 30-46]. In addition, Davis further teaches installing a start-up routine with login routine, the start-up routine associated with the network client, and using a start-up routine to determine the operating system of the network client, and managing the configuration of the operating system of the network client with the start-up routine according to the operating system of the network client. For example, server performs user validation as well as other functionality associated with the logon process including centralized management on heterogeneous client computer systems of different natural languages, different operating system types, and/or different processors types in order to install appropriate software. Thus, determining and managing the configuration of the network client are carried out [see Abstract and Figs. 3 to 5B and Col. 2, Lines 45-67, and Col. 5, Line 51 - Col. 6, Line 9, and Col. 11, Lines 47-49] (see Paper No. 7).

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER